CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2699

Chapter 232, Laws of 2002

57th Legislature 2002 Regular Session

COMMUNICATIONS WITH GOVERNMENT AGENCIES--IMMUNITY

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/13/02

Passed by the House March 11, 2002 Yeas 94 Nays 0

FRANK CHOPP Speaker of the House of Representatives CERTIFICATE

I, Cynthia Zehnder, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2699** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

Passed by the Senate March 5, 2002 Yeas 47 Nays 0 CYNTHIA ZEHNDER

Chief Clerk

BRAD OWEN
President of the Senate

Approved March 28, 2002

FILED

March 28, 2002 - 9:05 a.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2699

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2002 Regular Session

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2002 Regular Session

By House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Lantz, Ahern, Benson, Crouse, Morell, Miloscia, Schindler, Dunshee and Esser)

Read first time 02/07/2002. Referred to Committee on .

1 AN ACT Relating to communications with government branches or 2 agencies and self-regulatory organizations; amending RCW 4.24.510; and 3 creating a new section.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. Strategic lawsuits aqainst public participation, or SLAPP suits, involve communications made to influence б 7 a government action or outcome which results in a civil complaint or counterclaim filed against individuals or organizations 8 on а substantive issue of some public interest or social significance. 9 10 SLAPP suits are designed to intimidate the exercise of First Amendment rights and rights under Article I, section 5 of the Washington state 11 Constitution. 12

Although Washington state adopted the first modern anti-SLAPP law in 1989, that law has, in practice, failed to set forth clear rules for early dismissal review. Since that time, the United States supreme court has made it clear that, as long as the petitioning is aimed at procuring favorable government action, result, product, or outcome, it is protected and the case should be dismissed. This bill amends Washington law to bring it in line with these court decisions which recognizes that the United States Constitution protects advocacy to
 government, regardless of content or motive, so long as it is designed
 to have some effect on government decision making.

4 Sec. 2. RCW 4.24.510 and 1999 c 54 s 1 are each amended to read as 5 follows:

A person who ((in good faith)) communicates a complaint or 6 7 information to any <u>branch or</u> agency of federal, state, or local government, or to any self-regulatory organization that regulates 8 9 persons involved in the securities or futures business and that has been delegated authority by a federal, state, or local government 10 agency and is subject to oversight by the delegating agency, is immune 11 12 from civil liability for claims based upon the communication to the agency or organization regarding any matter reasonably of concern to 13 that agency or organization. A person prevailing upon the defense 14 provided for in this section ((shall be)) is entitled to recover 15 16 ((costs)) expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in establishing the defense and in addition shall receive statutory 17 damages of ten thousand dollars. Statutory damages may be denied if 18 the court finds that the complaint or information was communicated in 19 bad faith. 20

> Passed the House March 11, 2002. Passed the Senate March 5, 2002. Approved by the Governor March 28, 2002. Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 28, 2002.